arXiv:1103.5213v3 [math-ph] 6 Jul 2012

On the computation of star products

A. V. Bratchikov
Kuban State Technological University,
Krasnodar, 350072, Russia

March 2012

Abstract

The problem of finding a generic star product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is reduced to the computation of a skew-symmetric biderivation.

1 Introduction

Let $\alpha = \alpha^{ij}(x)\partial_i \wedge \partial_j$ be a Poisson bivector on $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^n)$, and x^i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are coordinates. A star product is a $\mathbb{R}[[t]]$ linear associative product on $\mathbf{A}[[t]]$, defined for $f, g \in \mathbf{A} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$f * g = \Pi_*(f, g), \qquad \Pi_* = \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k \Pi_k, \tag{1}$$

where Π_k are bidifferential operators, $\Pi_0(f, g) = fg$, $\Pi_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$. The operators Π_k are determined by the associativity equation

$$(f * g) * h = f * (g * h) \tag{2}$$

for all $f, g, h \in \mathbf{A}[[t]]$. The star product is the main ingredient of deformation quantization [1].

A construction providing a star product associated with any symplectic manifold was given in [2]. It allows to compute star products by a simple iterative procedure. An formula for the star product on an arbitrary Poisson manifold has been found by Kontsevich [3]. It looks like

$$* = F(\alpha), \tag{3}$$

where F is described by a series of diagrams. However, the problem of finding the star product has not been solved, because there is no systematic method to compute weights of the corresponding graphs.

A third order contribution to the generic star product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ was explicitly computed in [4]. At present, a fourth order contribution to the star product is known [5].

The problem, which we discuss in the present paper, is related with computation of higher order contributions to star products. We construct an explicit function G such that

$$* = G(\pi(\alpha)), \tag{4}$$

for some skew-symmetric biderivation π. This formula reduces the problem of finding the $*$-product to the computation of a skew-symmetric biderivation. Moreover, it clarifies (somewhat) eq. (3). To get (4) we consider a projection of the Maurer-Cartan equation on the image of the Hochschild coboundary operator and find a solution to the projected equation. It defines the function G. The complementary equation determines the part of deformation which depends only on a skew-symmetric biderivation.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce notations and decompose the Maurer-Cartan equation. In section 3, we construct the function $G(\pi)$.

2 Decomposition of the Maurer-Cartan equation

Let $C^p(\mathbf{A}), p \geq 0$, be the space of differential $p-$cochains and let δ be the Hochschild coboundary operator

$$\delta : C^p(\mathbf{A})[[t]] \to C^{p+1}(\mathbf{A})[[t]]$$

which is defined by

$$\delta\Phi(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{p+1}) = f_1\Phi(f_2, \dots, f_{p+1}) +$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^k\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}, f_kf_{k+1}, f_{k+2}, \dots, f_{p+1}) + (-1)^{p+1}\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_p)f_{p+1}.$$

Equations (1) and (2) together are equivalent to the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\delta\Pi = \frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi], \tag{5}$$

where $[\ \ ,\ \ ]$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket. For $\Phi, \Psi \in C^2(\mathbf{A})$

$$[\Phi, \Psi](f, g, h) = \Phi(\Psi(f, g), h) - \Phi(f, \Psi(g, h)) + \Psi(\Phi(f, g), h) - \Psi(f, \Phi(g, h)).$$

In this case

$$[\Phi, \Psi](f, g, h) = [\Psi, \Phi](f, g, h).$$

Let $C^p_{nc}(\mathbf{A})$ denote the space of differential $p-$cochains vanishing on the constants. We shall seek a solution to eq. (5) satisfying

$$\Pi \in C^2_{nc}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Let $\delta^+ : C^{p+1}(\mathbf{A})[[t]] \to C^p(\mathbf{A})[[t]]$ be a generalized inverse of δ :

$$\delta\delta^+\delta = \delta, \qquad \delta^+\delta\delta^+ = \delta^+.$$

The operator δ^+ exists [6]. It is defined by

$$\delta^+ = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \left(\epsilon^2 I + \delta^T\delta\right)^{-1} \delta^T = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \delta^T \left(\epsilon^2 I + \delta\delta^T\right)^{-1},$$

where I is the identity map, and $\delta^T : C^{p+1}(\mathbf{A})[[t]] \to C^p(\mathbf{A})[[t]]$ is the transpose of δ. For

$$\Psi = \Psi_{a_1\ldots a_{p+1}}(x) X^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X^{a_{p+1}} \in C^{p+1}(A),$$

where

$$X^a = \frac{1}{a^1!a^2!\ldots a^n!} \frac{\partial^{|a|}}{\partial(x^1)^{a^1}\partial(x^2)^{a^2}\ldots\partial(x^n)^{a^n}},$$

$a = (a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^n)$ is a multi-index, $|a| = a^1 + a^2 + \ldots + a^n$, we have

$$\delta^T\Psi = \Psi_{a_1\ldots a_{p+1}}(x)\delta^T(X^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X^{a_{p+1}}),$$

$$\delta^T(X^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X^{a_{p+1}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^{k+1} X^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \delta^T(X^{a_k} \otimes X^{a_{k+1}}) \otimes \ldots \otimes X^{\alpha_{p+1}},$$

$$\delta^T(X^a \otimes X^b) = -X^{a+b} + \delta_0^a X^b + X^a \delta_0^b.$$

The restriction of δ^+ to $C^3_{nc}(\mathbf{A})[[t]]$ is given by an infinite series

$$\delta^+ = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} K^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(UK^{-1}\right)^m \delta^T,$$

where $K = \epsilon^2 I + D$,

$$D(X^a \otimes X^b) = (\nu(a) + \nu(b))\, X^a \otimes X^b,$$

$$\nu(a) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a^i + 1) - 2,$$

$$U(X^a \otimes X^b) = {\sum_{s=0}^{a}}' X^{a-s} \otimes X^{b+s} + {\sum_{s=0}^{b}}' X^{a+b-s} \otimes X^s,$$

$${\sum_{s=0}^{a}}' Y^s = \sum_{s=0}^{a} Y^s - Y^a - Y^0, \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{a} = \sum_{s_1=0}^{a_1} \cdots \sum_{s_p=0}^{a_p}.$$

In accordance with the decomposition

$$C^3_{nc}(\mathbf{A})[[t]] = V_1 \oplus V_2,$$

where

$$V_1 = PC^3_{nc}(\mathbf{A})[[t]], \qquad V_2 = (I-P)C^3_{nc}(\mathbf{A})[[t]], \qquad P = \delta\delta^+,$$

eq. (5) splits as

$$\delta\Pi = \frac{1}{2}\delta\delta^+[\Pi, \Pi], \tag{6}$$

$$(I - \delta\delta^{+})[\Pi, \Pi] = 0. \tag{7}$$

It follows from (6) that

$$\Pi = \Upsilon + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{+}[\Pi, \Pi], \tag{8}$$

where $\Upsilon \in C^2_{nc}(\mathbf{A})[[t]]$ is an arbitrary cocycle, $\delta\Upsilon = 0$, subject only to the restriction

$$\Upsilon = \frac{t}{2}\alpha + O(t^2).$$

Equation (8) can be iteratively solved as:

$$\Pi = \Upsilon + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{+}[\Upsilon, \Upsilon] + \dots. \tag{9}$$

3 Partial deformation

To obtain an explicit expression for Π we introduce the functions

$$\langle \dots \rangle : \left(C^2(\mathbf{A})\right)^m \to C^2(\mathbf{A}), \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which recursively defined by

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \Phi, \qquad \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle = \delta^{+}[\Phi_1, \Phi_2],$$

$$\langle \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le m} \langle \langle \Phi_{i_1}, \dots, \Phi_{i_r} \rangle, \langle \Phi_1, \dots, \widehat{\Phi}_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{\Phi}_{i_r}, \dots, \Phi_m \rangle \rangle \tag{10}$$

if $m = 3, 4, \dots,$ where $\widehat{\Phi}$ means that Φ is omitted. Equation (8) can be written as

$$\Pi = \Upsilon + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Pi, \Pi \rangle. \tag{11}$$

Using induction on m one easily verifies that $\langle \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m \rangle$ is an $m-$linear symmetric function.

For $m \ge 2, 1 \le i, j \le m$, let

$$P^m_{ij} : (C^2(\mathbf{A}))^m \to (C^2(\mathbf{A}))^{m-1}$$

be defined by

$$P_{ij}^m(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m) = (\langle \Phi_i, \Phi_j \rangle, \Phi_1, \dots, \widehat{\Phi}_i, \dots, \widehat{\Phi}_j, \dots, \Phi_m).$$

If $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbf{A})$ is given by

$$\Phi = P_{12}^2 P_{i_{m-2}j_{m-2}}^3 \dots P_{i_2j_2}^{m-1} P_{i_1j_1}^m (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m) \tag{12}$$

for some $(i_1j_1), \dots, (i_{m-2}j_{m-2})$, we say that Φ is a descendant of $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$. A descendant of $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as Φ. One can show that $\langle \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m \rangle$ equals the sum of all the descendants of $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$ [7]. For example,

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3 \rangle = \langle \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle, \Phi_3 \rangle + \langle \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_3 \rangle, \Phi_2 \rangle + \langle \langle \Phi_2, \Phi_3 \rangle, \Phi_1 \rangle.$$

The function $\langle \dots . \rangle : (C^2(\mathbf{A}))^m \to C^2(\mathbf{A})$ can be uniquely extended to a function $\langle \dots . \rangle : (C^2(\mathbf{A})[[t]])^m \to C^2(\mathbf{A})[[t]]$ by $\mathbb{R}[[t]]$-linearity.

Equation (9) can be written as [7]

$$\Pi = \langle e^{\Upsilon} \rangle, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\langle e^{\Upsilon} \rangle = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} \langle \Upsilon^m \rangle, \qquad \langle \Upsilon^0 \rangle = 0.$$

Substituting (13) into (7), we get the equation determining Υ :

$$(I - \delta\delta^+)[\langle e^{\Upsilon} \rangle, \langle e^{\Upsilon} \rangle] = 0. \tag{14}$$

The cocycle Υ can be written as $\Upsilon = \pi + \delta\lambda$, where $\pi = \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k \pi_k$ is a skew-symmetric biderivation, and λ is an $1-$cochain [8].

Let us assume by induction that $\lambda = \sum_{k \geq n} t^k \lambda_k$. Then one can write

$$\Pi_* = \Pi_0 + \langle e^{\Upsilon} \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t^k \Pi_k + t^n (\pi_n + \delta\lambda_n + \tilde{\Pi}_n) + O(t^{n+1}) \tag{15}$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}_n$ depends only on π_k with $k < n$. Define a map $S : \mathbf{A}[[t]] \to \mathbf{A}[[t]]$ by

$$S(f) = f - t^n \lambda_n(f)$$

with inverse

$$S^{-1}(f) = f + \sum_{k\geq 1} t^{kn}\lambda_n^k(f)$$

for any $f \in \mathbf{A}$. Then

$$\Pi'_*(f,g) = S^{-1}(\Pi_*(S(f),S(g))) \tag{16}$$

is a new equivalent star product

$$\Pi'_* = \Pi_0 + \langle e^{\Upsilon'}\rangle, \qquad \delta\Upsilon' = 0.$$

It follows from (15) and (16) that

$$\Upsilon' = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t^k\pi_k + O(t^{n+1}).$$

It then follows from the vanishing of $\delta\lambda_n$ that the coboundary $\delta\lambda$ can be removed from (13) by a similarity transformation.

Finally, we get

$$\Pi = \langle e^{\pi}\rangle, \qquad \pi = \frac{t}{2}\alpha + \sum_{k\geq 2}^{\infty} t^k\pi_k, \tag{17}$$

where $\pi_k = \pi_k^{ij}\partial_i \wedge \partial_j$. Equation (14) takes the form

$$(I - \delta\delta^+)[\langle e^{\pi}\rangle, \langle e^{\pi}\rangle] = 0.$$

The cocycle π depends on α^{ij} and the derivatives $\partial_{k_1}\ldots\partial_{k_m}\alpha^{ij}$, $m = 1,2\ldots.$ In eq. (4)

$$G(\pi) = \Pi_0 + \langle e^{\pi}\rangle.$$

4 Conclutions

In this paper we have studied a construction of the generic star product on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Our approach is based on a decomposition of the Maurer-Cartan equation. In accordance with this decomposition the star product is represented as a composition of two functions. We show that one of these functions is a skew-symmetric biderivation and give an explicit expression for the other. It is an important problem to find a solution to the equation determining the skew-symmetric biderivation. It would also be interesting to reproduce decomposition (4) in the framework of the path integral approach [9].

References

[1] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerovich and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization, *Ann. Phys.* **111** (1978), 61-110.

[2] B. Fedosov, A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization, *J. Diff. Geom.* **40** (1994), 213-238.

[3] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66** (2003), 157-216.

[4] M. Penkava and P. Vanhaecke, Deformation quantization of polynomial Poisson algebras, *J. Algebra* **227** (2000), 365-393.

[5] V. G. Kupriyanov and D. V. Vassilevich, Star products made (somewhat) easier, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008), 627-637.

[6] A. V. Bratchikov, Generalized inversion of the Hochschild coboundary operator and deformation quantization, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **8** (2011), 99-106.

[7] A. V. Bratchikov, Explicit construction of the classical BRST charge for nonlinear algebras, *Central Eur. J. Phys.* **10** (2012), 61-65.

[8] S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold, *J. Geom. Phys.* **29** (1999), 347-392.

[9] A. C. Cattaneo and G. Felder, A path integral approach to the Kontsevich quantization formula, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **212** (2000), 591-611.